

ESSENTIAL NORMALITY OF AUTOMORPHIC COMPOSITION OPERATORS

LIANGYING JIANG, CAIHENG OUYANG, RUHAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We first characterize those composition operators that are essentially normal on the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$, where induced symbols are automorphisms of the unit disk D . Using the same technique, we investigate the automorphic composition operators on the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ and the weighted Bergman spaces $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). Furthermore, we give some composition operators induced by linear fractional self-maps of the unit ball B_N that are not essentially normal.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $B_N = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z| < 1\}$ denote the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and ∂B_N denote the boundary of B_N . The Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ consists of holomorphic functions f in B_N such that

$$\|f\|^2 \equiv \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\partial B_N} |f(r\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty,$$

where $d\sigma$ denotes the normalized surface measure on ∂B_N . Let dv denote the normalized volume measure on B_N . For $s > -1$, the weighted Lebesgue measure

$$dv_s(z) = c_s(1 - |z|^2)^s dv(z) := \frac{\Gamma(N + s + 1)}{N! \Gamma(s + 1)} (1 - |z|^2)^s dv(z).$$

The weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ consists of holomorphic functions f in B_N satisfying

$$\|f\|_s^2 \equiv \int_{B_N} |f(z)|^2 dv_s(z) < \infty.$$

In this article, we let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ or the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of B_N , the composition operator C_φ on the space $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

An operator T on the space H is essentially normal if its self-commutator $[T^*, T] = T^*T - TT^*$ is compact. Equivalently, an operator is essentially normal if its image in the Calkin algebra $B(H)/B_0(H)$ is normal. A surprising result is that essentially normal operators can be characterized up to unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators.

Date: March 5th, 2014

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11101279 and No.11271359).
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B33; Secondary 32A35, 32A36.

Key words and phrases. Composition operator; essentially normal; automorphism; linear fractional maps.

In this article, we are interested in which composition operators are essentially normal on some classical Hilbert spaces. This question is difficult to answer even on the Hardy space $H^2(D)$, unless φ is an automorphism or φ is a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk D .

When φ is an automorphism of D , the operator C_φ is essentially normal on $H^2(D)$ if and only if φ is a rotation, i.e. C_φ is normal (see [5]). In [16], this result was extended to the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ for any positive integer s . Soon after, MacCluer and Pons [15] obtained the same result for C_φ acting on $H^2(B_N)$ and the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ for real $s > -1$, where φ is an automorphism of B_N . Moreover, on the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ and the Bergman space $A^2(B_N)$, a very simple proof of this result can be found in [6].

In Section 2 of this paper, we get

Theorem 2.4. *If φ is a non-rotation automorphism of D , then C_φ is not essentially normal on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$.*

This means that C_φ is essentially normal on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$ if and only if φ is a rotation. For the case of the unit ball, we have the following result in Section 3.

Theorem 3.7. *Let φ be an automorphism of B_N with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$ and*

$$e_m(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(t+m)}{\Gamma(t)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m.$$

Then on the space $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|C_\varphi^*(e_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \|C_\varphi(e_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2) > 0.$$

Here $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

Therefore, as an immediate result of this theorem, we see that C_φ is essentially normal on the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ or the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$) if and only if φ is unitary. Theorem 3.7 will also be used for the discussion about linear fractional composition operators in Section 4.

If φ is a linear fractional self-map of D , using the adjoint formula $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$ (Here, g, σ, h will be introduced in Section 2), we have known that C_φ is essentially normal on $H^2(D)$ or $A_s^2(D)$ ($s > -1$) if and only if φ is a parabolic non-automorphism (see [5] and [16]).

For linear fractional self-maps of B_N , the situation is more complicated. Especially, from the discussion of spectral structures of linear fractional composition operators on $H^2(B_N)$ (see [2], [3], [13]), we have found that linear fractional maps of B_N , conjugated by automorphisms, must be classified into nine different cases. Until now, we only know a little about which linear fractional composition operators are essentially normal on the space $\mathcal{H}$ (see [14] and [17]). Even when φ is positive parabolic, i.e. φ is parabolic with $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \varphi$, where σ is the adjoint map of φ , we do not know whether C_φ is essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$.

In Section 4, we are interested in the essential normality of C_φ on $H^2(B_N)$ and $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$) when φ is a linear fractional self-map of B_N . The main results are as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *If φ is a linear fractional self-map of B_N and the restriction of φ to*

$$B_k = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in B_N; z_i = 0 \text{ for } i > k\}$$

is a non-rotation automorphism of B_k , then C_φ is not essentially normal on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_s^2(B_N)$ for any real $s > -1$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let φ be a linear fractional self-map of B_N with only one interior fixed point z_0 on $\overline{B_N}$. If $p = \dim L_U(\varphi, z_0) = 0$ and $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$, then C_φ is not essentially normal on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). Where $L_U(\varphi, z_0)$ is the unitary space of φ at z_0 (see Definition 2).*

One may be surprised why we pay attention to the essential normality of C_φ again, when φ is an automorphism of D or B_N . We consider this problem based on two reasons: First, we want to exhibit how we combine perfectly the idea of MacCluer and Weir [16] with a tool provided by MacCluer and Pons [15]. Moreover, on the unit ball, an astonishing result is that the sequence $\{< z, \zeta >^n\}$ for $\zeta \in \partial B_N$ behaves more similarly to the basis $\{z^n\}$ of D (Also, one may compare the condition for composition operators to be compact on the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(B_N)$ given by Dai [11]). Second, using Theorem 3.7 obtained in Section 3, we show that some linear fractional composition operators are not essentially normal on the space $\mathcal{H}$.

2. ESSENTIAL NORMALITY OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS IN THE UNIT DISK

In this section, we consider the essential normality of composition operators induced by automorphisms of D on the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$.

Recall that a linear fractional map of B_N is of the form

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{Az + B}{< z, C > + d}$$

with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$, $d \in \mathbb{C}$, where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{C}^N$. If φ is a linear fractional self-map of B_N , we have the following adjoint formula for C_φ on the space $\mathcal{H}$ (see [10] or [17]),

$$C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*,$$

where

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{A^* z - C}{< z, -B > + \bar{d}}$$

is the adjoint map of φ , T_g and T_h are analytic Toeplitz operators respectively with symbols $g(z) = (< z, -B > + \bar{d})^{-t}$ and $h(z) = (< z, C > + d)^t$. Here, $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). On $H^2(D)$, this adjoint formula is often called Cowen's adjoint formula (see [8]). For the case of $A_s^2(D)$ ($s > -1$) see the reference [12].

In order to determine which automorphic composition operators are essentially normal on the Bergman space $A^2(D)$, MacCluer and Weir [16] applied the adjoint formula $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$ to calculate $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2$ with $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1}z^n$. In [16], they also computed $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2$ and compared the two limits to deduce a necessary condition for C_φ to be essentially normal. On the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ for

any positive integer s , they mentioned that, without proof, similar computations can give the following limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_n)\|_s^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_s^2,$$

where

$$e_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)}} z^n.$$

But it is surprising that we can get the same limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_s^2$ on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$ using the following formula (see [15])

$$C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = T_f + K, \quad (2.1)$$

where φ is an automorphism of B_N , T_f is the Toeplitz operator with symbol f and K is a compact operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Next, we will give a detail computation for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_s^2$.

First, we need describe exactly the symbol f in the formula (2.1) when $\varphi = \varphi_a$ is an involution automorphism of B_N which interchanges a and 0.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi_a(z)$ be an involution automorphism of B_N , interchanging a and 0. Then*

$$C_{\varphi_a} C_{\varphi_a}^* = T_f + K,$$

where T_f is the Toeplitz operator with symbol

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \right)^t$$

and K is a compact operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Here, $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

Proof. This result can be easily obtained by Proposition 1 in [15]. For completeness, we give a simple proof.

Recall that

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a(z) - s_a Q_a(z)}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}, \quad z \in B_N$$

for any point $a \in B_N - \{0\}$ and $\varphi_0(z) = -z$, where $s_a = \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}$,

$$P_a(z) = \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{|a|^2} a \quad \text{and} \quad Q_a(z) = z - \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{|a|^2} a.$$

Thus, $C_{\varphi_a}^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$ with $g(z) = (1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^{-t}$ and $h(z) = (1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^t$, where $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$. Moreover, we have $\sigma = \varphi_a^{-1} = \varphi_a$ by Lemma 6.3 of [4]. Using the semi-multiplicative property for Toeplitz operator mod $\mathcal{K}$ (see [15] for details), we see that

$$C_{\varphi_a} C_{\varphi_a}^* = C_{\varphi_a} T_g C_\sigma T_h^* = T_{g \circ \varphi_a} C_{\varphi_a} C_\sigma T_h^* = T_{g \circ \varphi_a} T_h^* \equiv \bar{T}_{\bar{h}(g \circ \varphi_a)} \pmod{\mathcal{K}},$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Now, applying the equality

$$1 - \langle \varphi_a(z), a \rangle = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle},$$

we get

$$f(z) = \bar{h}(z)(g \circ \varphi_a(z)) = \left(\frac{\overline{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}}{1 - \langle \varphi_a(z), a \rangle} \right)^t = \left(\frac{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \right)^t.$$

This gives the desired conclusion. $\square$

Now, we will show that how the formula in Proposition 2.1 works on the calculation of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2$. After that, we will use similar method to compute the corresponding limit on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$.

Proposition 2.2. *If φ is an automorphism of D and $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1}z^n$, then on $A^2(D)$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2 = \frac{1 + 4|p|^2 + |p|^4}{(1 - |p|^2)^2},$$

where $p = \varphi^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. This is Proposition 2 in [16], we will use Proposition 2.1 to give another proof.

Any automorphism φ of D with $\varphi(p) = 0$ can be written as $\varphi = U \circ \varphi_p$, where $U(z) = \lambda z$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\varphi_p(z) = \frac{p-z}{1-\bar{p}z}$. Thus, $C_\varphi = C_{U \circ \varphi_p} = C_{\varphi_p} C_U$. Applying Proposition 2.1, we see that

$$C_\varphi C_\varphi^* = C_{\varphi_p} C_U (C_{\varphi_p} C_U)^* = C_{\varphi_p} C_U C_U^* C_{\varphi_p}^* = C_{\varphi_p} C_{\varphi_p}^* = T_f + K$$

with

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{|1 - \bar{p}z|^2}{1 - |p|^2} \right)^2$$

and K is a compact operator on $A^2(D)$. This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle C_\varphi C_\varphi^*(e_n), e_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle.$$

Write

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^2} (1 - \bar{p}z)^2 (1 - p\bar{z})^2,$$

then

$$T_f(e_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{(1 - |p|^2)^2} P[(1 - \bar{p}z)^2 (1 - p\bar{z})^2 z^n],$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D)$ onto $A^2(D)$. Note that

$$P(\bar{z}z^n) = T_z^* z^n = \frac{n}{n+1} z^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and

$$P(\bar{z}^2 z^n) = T_{z^2}^* z^n = \frac{n-1}{n+1} z^{n-2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & P[(1 - \bar{p}z)^2 (1 - p\bar{z})^2 z^n] \\ &= P(z^n - 2p\bar{z}z^n + p^2\bar{z}^2 z^n - 2\bar{p}z^{n+1} + 4|p|^2\bar{z}z^{n+1} - 2|p|^2 p\bar{z}^2 z^{n+1} \\ & \quad + \bar{p}^2 z^{n+2} - 2|p|^2 \bar{p} \cdot \bar{z}z^{n+2} + |p|^4 \bar{z}^2 z^{n+2}) \\ &= z^n - 2\frac{n}{n+1} p z^{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{n+1} p^2 z^{n-2} - 2\bar{p}z^{n+1} + 4\frac{n+1}{n+2} |p|^2 z^n \\ & \quad - 2\frac{n}{n+2} |p|^2 p z^{n-1} + \bar{p}^2 z^{n+2} - 2\frac{n+2}{n+3} |p|^2 \bar{p} z^{n+1} + \frac{n+1}{n+3} |p|^4 z^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle &= \frac{n+1}{(1-|p|^2)^2} \langle P[(1-\bar{p}z)^2(1-p\bar{z})^2 z^n], z^n \rangle \\ &= \frac{n+1}{(1-|p|^2)^2} \left(\|z^n\|^2 + 4\frac{n+1}{n+2}|p|^2\|z^n\|^2 + \frac{n+1}{n+3}|p|^4\|z^n\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|z^n\|^2 := \|z^n\|_{A^2(D)}^2 = \frac{1}{n+1}$, taking the limit, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_{A^2(D)}^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1-|p|^2)^2} \left(1 + 4\frac{n+1}{n+2}|p|^2 + \frac{n+1}{n+3}|p|^4 \right) \\ &= \frac{1+4|p|^2+|p|^4}{(1-|p|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using this technique, we easily get the following result on the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ for real $s > -1$. First, we need some notations. For any real c , we denote

$$\binom{c}{0} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{c}{k} = \frac{c(c-1)\cdots(c-k+1)}{k!}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Let $(c)_k$ denote the shifted factorial defined by

$$(c)_k = c(c+1)\cdots(c+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(c+k)}{\Gamma(c)} \quad \text{for } k > 0, \quad (c)_0 = 1,$$

where c is any real or complex number. Write

$$F((a_1)_k, \dots, (a_p)_k; (b_1)_k, \dots, (b_q)_k; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

which is a hypergeometric function (see [1]).

Proposition 2.3. *If φ is an automorphism of D and*

$$e_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)}} z^n,$$

then on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_s^2 = \frac{1}{(1-|p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k},$$

where $p = \varphi^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. First on $A_s^2(D)$ ($s > -1$), by Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \left(\frac{|1 - \bar{p}z|^2}{1 - |p|^2} \right)^{s+2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} (1 - \bar{p}z)^{s+2} (1 - p\bar{z})^{s+2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k} (-\bar{p}z)^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{j} (-p\bar{z})^j \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k} \binom{s+2}{j} (-1)^{k+j} \bar{p}^k p^j z^k \bar{z}^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$P(\bar{z}^m z^l) = T_{z^m}^*(z^l) = \frac{\Gamma(s+2+l-m)}{\Gamma(s+2+l)} \cdot \frac{l!}{(l-m)!} z^{l-m}$$

for non-negative integers $l \geq m$ and 0 otherwise, where P denotes the projection of $L_s^2(D)$ onto $A_s^2(D)$ for $s > -1$. Combining this with the orthogonality of z^{k_1} and z^{k_2} when $k_1 \neq k_2$, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+s+2)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \times \\
 &\quad \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k} \binom{s+2}{j} (-1)^{k+j} \bar{p}^k p^j \langle P(\bar{z}^j z^{k+n}), z^n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+s+2)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k} \binom{s+2}{j} (-1)^{k+j} \bar{p}^k p^j \times \\
 &\quad \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n+k-j)}{\Gamma(s+2+n+k)} \cdot \frac{(n+k)!}{(n+k-j)!} \langle z^{n+k-j}, z^n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \frac{\Gamma(n+s+2)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \times \\
 &\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2+k+n)} \cdot \frac{(k+n)!}{n!} \|z^n\|_s^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2+k+n)} \cdot \frac{(k+n)!}{n!},
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the norm

$$\|z^n\|_s^2 = \frac{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+s+2)}.$$

For any fixed non-negative integer k , using Stirling's formula, we see that

$$\frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2+k+n)} \cdot \frac{(k+n)!}{n!} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $|p| < 1$ and the dominated convergence theorem give that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2+k+n)} \cdot \frac{(k+n)!}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_n)\|_s^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_f(e_n), e_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+2}{k}^2 |p|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In [16], MacCluer and Weir gave the following result on the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ only for any positive integer s . Now, using Proposition 2.3 and the idea of MacCluer and Weir (see Theorem 5 of [16]), we can prove this result on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$.

Theorem 2.4. *If φ is a non-rotation automorphism of D , then C_φ is not essentially normal on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$ be the normalized basis of $A_s^2(D)$ ($s > -1$) defined in Proposition 2.3. Since φ is a non-rotation automorphism of D , we have $\varphi(z) = \lambda \frac{p-z}{1-\bar{p}z}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $p = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$. First, using the change of variables formula and the orthogonality of z^{k_1} and z^{k_2} when $k_1 \neq k_2$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi(e_n)\|_s^2 &= \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \|\varphi^n\|_s^2 \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_D \left| \lambda \frac{p-z}{1-\bar{p}z} \right|^{2n} dv_s(z) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_D |z|^{2n} \frac{(1-|p|^2)^{s+2}}{|1-\bar{p}z|^{2(s+2)}} dv_s(z) \\ &= (1-|p|^2)^{s+2} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_D |z|^{2n} \frac{1}{(1-\bar{p}z)^{s+2}} \frac{1}{(1-p\bar{z})^{s+2}} dv_s(z) \\ &= (1-|p|^2)^{s+2} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k!\Gamma(s+2)} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+j)}{j!\Gamma(s+2)} \bar{p}^k p^j \int_D |z|^{2n} z^k \bar{z}^j dv_s(z) \\ &= (1-|p|^2)^{s+2} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k!\Gamma(s+2)} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \int_D |z|^{2(n+k)} dv_s(z) \\ &= (1-|p|^2)^{s+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k!\Gamma(s+2)} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n+k+1)}{\Gamma(s+2+n+k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any fixed non-negative integer k ,

$$\frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n+k+1)}{\Gamma(s+2+n+k)} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, applying the dominated convergence theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_n)\|_s^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k! \Gamma(s+2)} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(s+2+n)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n+k+1)}{\Gamma(s+2+n+k)} \\ &= (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k! \Gamma(s+2)} \right)^2 |p|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we follow the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 5 in [16] to deal with the above series. Using Euler's formula (see Theorem 2.25 of [1]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_n)\|_s^2 = (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s+2+k)}{k! \Gamma(s+2)} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \\ &= (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s+2)_k (s+2)_k}{(1)_k} \frac{|p|^{2k}}{k!} \\ &= (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} F(s+2, s+2; 1; |p|^2) \\ &= (1 - |p|^2)^{s+2} (1 - |p|^2)^{1-(s+2)-(s+2)} F(1-(s+2), 1-(s+2); 1; |p|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} (1 - |p|^2) F(-s-1, -s-1; 1; |p|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} (1 - |p|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-s-1)_k (-s-1)_k}{(1)_k} \frac{|p|^{2k}}{k!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} (1 - |p|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-k)}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |p|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-k)}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-k)}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-k)}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2(k+1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-k)}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{(k-1)!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \\ &= 1 + (s^2 + 2s)|p|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{k!} \right)^2 [(s+2-k)^2 - k^2] |p|^{2k} \\ &= 1 + (s^2 + 2s)|p|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{k!} \right)^2 (s+2)(s+2-2k) |p|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $p \neq 0$, by Proposition 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (||C_\varphi^*(e_n)||_s^2 - ||C_\varphi(e_n)||_s^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+2)(s+1) \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{k!} \right)^2 |p|^{2k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left[1 + (s^2 + 2s)|p|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{k!} \right)^2 (s+2)(s+2-2k)|p|^{2k} \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1 - |p|^2)^{s+2}} \left[(2s+4)|p|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(s+1)s \cdots (s+2-(k-1))}{k!} \right)^2 2k(s+2)|p|^{2k} \right] > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\{e_n\}$ is a weakly convergent sequence of D . However, if $p = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi](e_n)||_s &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |< [C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi](e_n), e_n >| \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (||C_\varphi^*(e_n)||_s^2 - ||C_\varphi(e_n)||_s^2) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]$ is not compact and hence C_φ is not essentially normal on $A_s^2(D)$ for any real $s > -1$. $\square$

3. ESSENTIALLY NORMALITY OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS IN THE UNIT BALL

First, we introduce some notations. If $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ are points in $\mathbb{C}^N$, we write

$$< z, w > = z_1 \overline{w_1} + \cdots + z_N \overline{w_N} \quad \text{and} \quad |z| = < z, z >^{1/2}.$$

For an N -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ of non-negative integers, which is also called a multi-index, we write

$$|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_N|, \quad \alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_N!$$

and $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_N^{\alpha_N}$. If α and β are two multi-indexes, we say $\beta \leq \alpha$ provided $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. In this case, $\alpha - \beta$ is also a multi-index and $|\alpha - \beta| = |\alpha| - |\beta|$.

In this section, we will generalize those results obtained in Section 2 to the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ and the weighted Bergman spaces $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). In other words, we will compute the limits

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ||C_\varphi(e_m)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ||C_\varphi^*(e_m)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

where the sequence $\{e_m\}$ is chosen to be

$$e_m(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(t+m)}{\Gamma(t)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m$$

with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$. Here, $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). Surprisingly, when φ is an involution automorphism of B_N , we find that the above two limits respectively have the same structures as those on the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(D)$ ($s > -1$).

In fact, MacCluer and Pons [15] have estimated the limits

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ||C_\varphi(z_2^m / ||z_2^m||_{\mathcal{H}})||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ||C_\varphi^*(z_2^m / ||z_2^m||_{\mathcal{H}})||_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Comparing the two limits, they proved that C_φ is essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if φ is unitary. Applying the method in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can also compare the limits $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ to deduce the same result. Moreover, this will provide an important tool to investigate the essential normality of some linear fractional composition operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\varphi_a(z)$ is an involution automorphism of B_N interchanging a and 0. If $a \neq 0$, let*

$$e_m(z) = C_m \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m := \sqrt{\frac{(N-1+m)!}{(N-1)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m,$$

then on $H^2(B_N)$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\varphi_a}(e_m)\|^2 = (1 - |a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k}.$$

Proof. First, using the formula (see p.15 of [20])

$$\int_{\partial B_N} |\langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{2m} d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{(N-1)!m!}{(N-1+m)!} |z|^{2m},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle z, a/|a| \rangle^m\|^2 &= \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \zeta, a/|a| \rangle|^{2m} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{(N-1)!m!}{(N-1+m)!} \left| \frac{a}{|a|} \right|^{2m} = \frac{(N-1)!m!}{(N-1+m)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{e_m\}$ is a sequence of B_N which weakly converges to zero with $\|e_m\| = 1$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\varphi_a}(e_m)\|^2 &= C_m^2 \|\langle \varphi_a(z), a/|a| \rangle^m\|^2 \\ &= C_m^2 \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \varphi_a(\zeta), a/|a| \rangle|^{2m} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= C_m^2 \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \zeta, a/|a| \rangle|^{2m} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \langle \zeta, a \rangle|^2} \right)^N d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^N \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \zeta, a \rangle|^{2m} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \langle \zeta, a \rangle^k \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^N \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \int_{\partial B_N} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \langle \zeta, a \rangle^{k+m} \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^N \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \zeta, a \rangle|^{2(k+m)} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^N \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 \frac{(N-1)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!} |a|^{2(k+m)} \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k} \frac{(N-1+m)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!m!}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third line, we have used the change of variables formula (see Corollary 4.4 in [20]), and in the sixth line, we used the orthogonality of the functions $\langle z, a \rangle^{k_1}$ and $\langle z, a \rangle^{k_2}$ in $L^2(\partial B_N, d\sigma)$ when $k_1 \neq k_2$.

Since $|a| < 1$ and for any fixed non-negative integer k ,

$$\frac{(N-1+m)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!m!} \rightarrow 1$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we apply the dominated convergence theorem to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\varphi_a}(e_m)\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1-|a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k!\Gamma(N)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k} \frac{(N-1+m)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!m!} \\ &= (1-|a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k!\Gamma(N)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Similar computation gives the following result for the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). We only need use the change of variables formula for $A_s^2(B_N)$ (see Proposition 1.13 in [20]), so we omit its proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $\varphi_a(z)$ is an involution automorphism of B_N interchanging a and 0. If $a \neq 0$, let*

$$e_m(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)}{\Gamma(N+s+1)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m,$$

then on $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\varphi_a}(e_m)\|_s^2 = (1-|a|^2)^{N+s+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+k)}{k!\Gamma(N+s+1)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any positive integers m and k , there exist positive numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ such that*

$$\frac{(m+k)!}{m!} = M_k + a_1 M_{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1} M_1 + a_k, \quad (3.1)$$

where $M_i = m(m-1) \cdots [m-(i-1)]$, ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Proof. We use induction, when $k = 2$, it is clear that

$$\frac{(m+2)!}{m!} = M_2 + a_1 M_1 + a_2,$$

where $M_2 = m(m-1)$, $M_1 = m$, $a_1 = 2^2 = 4$, $a_2 = 2! = 2$.

Assume that (3.1) is true for k , we prove that it is also true for $k + 1$. By induction assumption (write $a_0 = 1$ and $M_0 = 1$),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(m+k+1)!}{m!} &= \frac{(m+k)!}{m!}(m+k+1) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i M_{k-i} \right) (m+k+1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i M_{k-i} [m - (k-i) + (2k-i+1)] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i M_{k-i} [m - (k-i)] + \sum_{i=0}^k (2k-i+1) a_i M_{k-i} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i M_{k-i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (2k-i+2) a_{i-1} M_{k-i+1} \\
 &= a_0 M_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k [a_i + (2k-i+2) a_{i-1}] M_{k-i+1} + (k+1) a_k M_0 \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a'_i M_{(k+1)-i},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a'_0 = 1$, $a'_i = a_i + (2k-i+2) a_{i-1}$, $a'_{k+1} = (k+1) a_k = (k+1)!$. Hence, the result is true for $k+1$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that φ is an automorphism of B_N with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$. Let*

$$e_m(z) = C_m \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m := \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(t+m)}{\Gamma(t)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m,$$

then on the space $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi(e_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq (1 - |a|^2)^t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(t+k)}{k! \Gamma(t)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k},$$

where $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

Proof. In the proof, we only discuss the case $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$. If φ is an automorphism of B_N with $\varphi(a) = 0$, by Theorem 2.25 in [19] or Theorem 1.4 in [20], we have $\varphi = U\varphi_a$, where U is unitary and φ_a is an involution automorphism of B_N that interchanges a and 0. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|C_\varphi(e_m)\|^2 &= C_m^2 \| \langle \varphi(z), a/|a| \rangle^m \|^2 = C_m^2 \| \langle U\varphi_a(z), a/|a| \rangle^m \|^2 \\
 &= C_m^2 \| \langle \varphi_a(z), U^{-1}(a/|a|) \rangle^m \|^2 \\
 &= C_m^2 \| C_{\varphi_a}(\langle z, U^{-1}(a/|a|) \rangle^m) \|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we can show that

$$C_m^2 \| C_{\varphi_a}(\langle z, \eta \rangle^m) \|^2 \leq C_m^2 \| C_{\varphi_a}(\langle z, a/|a| \rangle^m) \|^2 = \| C_{\varphi_a}(e_m) \|^2$$

for any $\eta \in \partial B_N$, then the desired result follows from Proposition 3.1.

Now, for $\eta \in \partial B_N$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|C_{\varphi_a}(< z, \eta >^m)\|^2 = \|< \varphi_a(z), \eta >^m\|^2 \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} |< \varphi_a(\zeta), \eta >|^{2m} d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} |< \zeta, \eta >|^{2m} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - < \zeta, a >|^2} \right)^N d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= (1 - |a|^2)^N \int_{\partial B_N} |< \zeta, \eta >|^{2m} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} < \zeta, a >^k \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= (1 - |a|^2)^N \int_{\partial B_N} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} < \zeta, a >^k < \zeta, \eta >^m \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= (1 - |a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 \int_{\partial B_N} |< \zeta, a >^k < \zeta, \eta >^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above calculations, we have used the change of variables formula on $H^2(B_N)$ and the orthogonality of $< \zeta, a >^{k_1} < \zeta, \eta >^m$ and $< \zeta, a >^{k_2} < \zeta, \eta >^m$ when $k_1 \neq k_2$ (It is easy to check that).

In order to better understand our technique, we first estimate the above integral when $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_N} |< \zeta, a > < \zeta, \eta >^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_{\partial B_N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{a}_i \zeta_i \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{\eta}^\alpha \zeta^\alpha \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{a}_i \zeta_i \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{\eta}^\alpha \zeta^\alpha, \sum_{j=1}^N \bar{a}_j \zeta_j \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{\eta}^\beta \zeta^\beta \right\rangle d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 |\zeta_i|^2 |\zeta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^\beta \zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_j \zeta^\alpha \bar{\zeta}^\beta \right) d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \frac{(N-1)! \alpha_1! \cdots (\alpha_i+1)! \cdots \alpha_N!}{(N-1+m+1)!} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i+1=\beta_i \\ \alpha_j=\beta_j+1 \\ \alpha_l=\beta_l, l \neq i,j}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^\beta \frac{(N-1)! \beta_1! \cdots (\beta_j+1)! \cdots \beta_N!}{(N-1+m+1)!} \\
&= \frac{(N-1)! m!}{(N-1+m+1)!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m! (\alpha_i+1)}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \cdots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{\eta}_i \eta_j \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any i, j ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a_i|^2 |\eta_j|^2 + |a_j|^2 |\eta_i|^2 \geq 2 |a_i| |a_j| |\eta_i| |\eta_j| \\
& \geq 2 \operatorname{Re} (\bar{a}_i a_j \bar{\eta}_i \eta_j) = \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{\eta}_i \eta_j + a_i \bar{a}_j \bar{\eta}_i \eta_j.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} \left(|a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 - |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} \overline{a_i} a_j \eta_i \overline{\eta_j} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} |a_i|^2 |\eta_j|^2 \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} \overline{a_i} a_j \eta_i \overline{\eta_j} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m! (\alpha_i + 1)}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m! \alpha_i}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \\
 &= m \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1! \dots (\alpha_i-1)! \dots \alpha_N!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_i|^{2(\alpha_i-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} |a_i|^2 |\eta_i|^2 \\
 &\quad + m \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1! \dots (\alpha_j-1)! \dots \alpha_N!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} |a_i|^2 |\eta_j|^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |\eta^\alpha|^2 \\
 &= m |\eta|^{2(m-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^2 |\eta_i|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |a_i|^2 |\eta_j|^2 \right) + |a|^2 |\eta|^{2m} \\
 &= m |\eta|^{2(m-1)} |a|^2 |\eta|^2 + |a|^2 |\eta|^{2m} = (m+1) |a|^2 |\eta|^{2m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\eta \in \partial B_N$ and $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial B_N} | \langle \zeta, a \rangle \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle^m |^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
 &= \frac{(N-1)! m!}{(N-1+m+1)!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m! (\alpha_i + 1)}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |a_i|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m! \alpha_j}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |\eta_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \dots |\eta_N|^{2\alpha_N} \overline{a_i} a_j \eta_i \overline{\eta_j} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{(N-1)! m!}{(N-1+m+1)!} (m+1) |a|^2 |\eta|^{2m} = \frac{(N-1)! (m+1)!}{(N-1+m+1)!} |a|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, for any integer $k \geq 2$, applying similar arguments and more complicated calculations, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_N} | \langle \zeta, a \rangle^k \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle^m |^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \bar{a}^\gamma \zeta^\gamma \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{\eta}^\alpha \zeta^\alpha \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} \left\langle \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \bar{a}^\gamma \zeta^\gamma \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{\eta}^\alpha \zeta^\alpha, \sum_{|\delta|=k} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \bar{a}^\delta \zeta^\delta \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{\eta}^\beta \zeta^\beta \right\rangle d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_N} \left(\sum_{\gamma=\delta} \sum_{\alpha=\beta} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} |a^\gamma|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 |\zeta^{\gamma+\alpha}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^\gamma a^\delta \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^\beta \zeta^{\gamma+\alpha} \bar{\zeta}^{\delta+\beta} \right) d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma=\delta} \sum_{\alpha=\beta} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} |a^\gamma|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \frac{(N-1)!(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(N-1+m+k)!} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\gamma+\alpha=\delta+\beta} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^\gamma a^\delta \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^\beta \frac{(N-1)!(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(N-1+m+k)!} \\
&= \frac{(N-1)m!}{(N-1+m+k)!} \left(\sum_{\gamma=\delta} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} |a^\gamma|^2 |\eta^\alpha|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\gamma+\alpha \geq \delta} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} \bar{a}^\gamma a^\delta \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{(N-1)m!}{(N-1+m+k)!} (m+1) \cdots (m+k) |a|^{2k} |\eta|^{2m} \\
&= \frac{(N-1)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!} |a|^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in order to handle

$$\frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} = \frac{(\alpha_1+\gamma_1)!}{\alpha_1!} \cdot \frac{(\alpha_2+\gamma_2)!}{\alpha_2!} \cdots \frac{(\alpha_N+\gamma_N)!}{\alpha_N!}$$

and

$$\frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} = \frac{(\gamma_1+\alpha_1)!}{(\gamma_1+\alpha_1-\delta_1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma_2+\alpha_2)!}{(\gamma_2+\alpha_2-\delta_2)!} \cdots \frac{(\gamma_N+\alpha_N)!}{(\gamma_N+\alpha_N-\delta_N)!},$$

we have used Lemma 3.3. We omit the details of computations, which are too complicated to display here. However, we include a computation for the case $N = 2$ and $k = 2$ in the Appendix to illustrate some further details of the idea.

Finally, combining the above conclusion with the proof of Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C_m^2 \|C_{\varphi_a}(\langle z, \eta \rangle^m)\|^2 \\
 &= C_m^2 (1 - |a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 \int_{\partial B_N} |\langle \zeta, a \rangle^k \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
 &\leq (1 - |a|^2)^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{k! \Gamma(N)} \right)^2 |a|^{2k} \frac{(N-1+m)!(m+k)!}{(N-1+m+k)!m!} \\
 &= \|C_{\varphi_a}(e_m)\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that φ is an automorphism of B_N with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$. Let*

$$e_m(z) = C_m \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m := \sqrt{\frac{(N-1+m)!}{(N-1)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m.$$

Then on $H^2(B_N)$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\varphi}^*(e_m)\|^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 |a|^{2k}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we may write $\varphi = U\varphi_a$. Thus, $C_{\varphi} = C_{\varphi_a}C_U$ and $C_{\varphi}C_{\varphi}^* = C_{\varphi_a}C_UC_U^*C_{\varphi_a}^* = C_{\varphi_a}C_{\varphi_a}^*$. Applying Proposition 3.1, we have

$$C_{\varphi}C_{\varphi}^* = C_{\varphi_a}C_{\varphi_a}^* = T_f + K,$$

where T_f is the Toeplitz operator with symbol

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \right)^N$$

and K is a compact operator on $H^2(B_N)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} (1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^N (1 - \overline{\langle z, a \rangle})^N \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \langle z, a \rangle^k \sum_{l=0}^N \binom{N}{l} (-1)^l \overline{\langle z, a \rangle^l} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{l=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \binom{N}{l} (-1)^{k+l} \langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle^l}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle^l} \langle z, a \rangle^m &= \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \bar{a}^{\gamma} z^{\gamma} \sum_{|\delta|=l} \frac{l!}{\delta!} a^{\delta} \bar{z}^{\delta} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \\
 &= \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \sum_{|\delta|=l} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{l!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^{\gamma+\alpha} a^{\delta} z^{\gamma+\alpha} \bar{z}^{\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that (see Equation (12) in [15])

$$P(\bar{z}^{\delta} z^{\gamma+\alpha}) = T_{z^{\delta}}^*(z^{\gamma+\alpha}) = \frac{(N + |\gamma + \alpha| - |\delta| - 1)!}{(N + |\gamma + \alpha| - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma + \alpha)!}{(\gamma + \alpha - \delta)!} z^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta}$$

when $\gamma_i + \alpha_i \geq \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and 0 otherwise, where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\partial B_N, d\sigma)$ onto $H^2(B_N)$. Combining $|\gamma + \alpha - \delta| = |\gamma| + |\alpha| - |\delta| = k + m - l$ with the orthogonality of the functions $\langle z, a \rangle^{k_1}$ and $\langle z, a \rangle^{k_2}$ in $L^2(\partial B_N, d\sigma)$ when $k_1 \neq k_2$, we see that the inner product

$$P[\langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle^l} \langle z, a \rangle^m]$$

with $\langle z, a \rangle^m$ is zero unless $k = l$. This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_f(e_m), e_m \rangle &= \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \langle T_f(\langle z, a \rangle^m), \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 \langle P[\langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle^k} \langle z, a \rangle^m], \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

First, when $k = 1$, we compute the projection

$$\begin{aligned} &P[\langle z, a \rangle \overline{\langle z, a \rangle} \langle z, a \rangle^m] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{a}^\alpha P(\bar{z}_j z_i z^\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^\alpha |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{N + m} z^\alpha + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^\alpha \bar{a}_i a_j \frac{\alpha_j}{N + m} \frac{z_i z^\alpha}{z_j} \end{aligned}$$

and the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle P[\langle z, a \rangle \overline{\langle z, a \rangle} \langle z, a \rangle^m], \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^\alpha |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{N + m} z^\alpha, \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^\beta z^\beta \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^\alpha \bar{a}_i a_j \frac{\alpha_j}{N + m} \frac{z_i z^\alpha}{z_j}, \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^\beta z^\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{N + m} \|z^\alpha\|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i + 1 = \beta_i \\ \alpha_j - 1 = \beta_j \\ \alpha_l = \beta_l, l \neq i, j}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{a}^\alpha a^\beta \frac{\alpha_j}{N + m} \|z^\beta\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{N + m} \cdot \frac{(N - 1)! \alpha!}{(N - 1 + m)!} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i + 1 = \beta_i \\ \alpha_j - 1 = \beta_j \\ \alpha_l = \beta_l, l \neq i, j}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}_i a_j \bar{a}^\alpha a^\beta \frac{\alpha_j}{N + m} \cdot \frac{(N - 1)! \beta!}{(N - 1 + m)!} \\ &= \frac{(N - 1)! m!}{(N - 1 + m)!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_i}{N + m} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 |a_i|^2 \frac{1}{N + m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 |a_i|^2 \frac{\alpha_j}{N+m} \Big) \\
 & = \frac{1}{C_m^2(N+m)} \left(m \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{\alpha_i \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1! \cdots (\alpha_i-1)! \cdots \alpha_N!} |a_1|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |a_i|^{2(\alpha_i-1)} \cdots |a_N|^{2\alpha_N} |a_i|^4 \right. \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\alpha|^2 \\
 & \quad \left. + m \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \geq 1 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1! \cdots (\alpha_j-1)! \cdots \alpha_N!} |a_1|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |a_j|^{2(\alpha_j-1)} \cdots |a_N|^{2\alpha_N} |a_i|^2 |a_j|^2 \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{C_m^2(N+m)} \left[m |a|^{2(m-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^2 |a_i|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |a_i|^2 |a_j|^2 \right) + |a|^{2(m+1)} \right] \\
 & = \frac{1}{C_m^2(N+m)} (m+1) |a|^{2(m+1)} = \frac{|a|^{2m}}{C_m^2} \cdot \frac{m+1}{N+m} |a|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the above calculation, we have used the norm

$$||z^\alpha||^2 = \frac{(N-1)! \alpha!}{(N-1+|\alpha|)!}.$$

Now, when $k \geq 2$, using Lemma 3.3 and similar idea in the proof of Proposition 3.4, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P[< z, a >^k \overline{< z, a >^k} < z, a >^m] \\
 & = \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \sum_{|\delta|=k} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^{\gamma+\alpha} a^\delta P(\bar{z}^\delta z^{\gamma+\alpha}) \\
 & = \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\gamma|^2 \bar{a}^\alpha \frac{(N+m-1)!}{(N+m+k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} z^\alpha \\
 & \quad + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \neq \delta \\ |\gamma|=|\delta|=k}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma+\alpha \geq \delta \\ |\alpha|=m}} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \bar{a}^{\gamma+\alpha} a^\delta \frac{(N+m-1)!}{(N+m+k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} z^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & < P[< z, a >^k \overline{< z, a >^k} < z, a >^m], < z, a >^m > \\
 & = \left\langle P[< z, a >^k \overline{< z, a >^k} < z, a >^m], \sum_{|\beta|=m} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^\beta z^\beta \right\rangle \\
 & = \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |a^\gamma|^2 |a^\alpha|^2 \frac{(N+m-1)!}{(N+m+k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{\alpha!} ||z^\alpha||^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \neq \delta \\ |\gamma| = |\delta| = k}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma + \alpha = \delta + \beta \\ |\alpha| = |\beta| = m}} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{m!}{\beta!} \bar{a}^{\gamma + \alpha} a^{\delta + \beta} \frac{(N + m - 1)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(\gamma + \alpha)!}{(\gamma + \alpha - \delta)!} \|z^\beta\|^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{C_m^2} \frac{(N + m - 1)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!} \left(\sum_{|\gamma| = k} \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma + \alpha)!}{\alpha!} |a^\gamma|^2 |a^\alpha|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \neq \delta \\ |\gamma| = |\delta| = k}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma + \alpha \geq \delta \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} \frac{k!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma + \alpha)!}{(\gamma + \alpha - \delta)!} |a^{\gamma + \alpha}|^2 \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{C_m^2} \frac{(N + m - 1)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!} (m + 1) \cdots (m + k) |a|^{2(m+k)} \\
& = \frac{|a|^{2m}}{C_m^2} \frac{(N + m - 1)!(m + k)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!m!} |a|^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all above arguments give that

$$\begin{aligned}
& < T_f(e_m), e_m > \\
& = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 < P[< z, a >^k \overline{< z, a >^k} < z, a >^m], < z, a >^m > \\
& = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 \frac{|a|^{2m}}{C_m^2} \frac{(N + m - 1)!(m + k)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!m!} |a|^{2k} \\
& = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 \frac{(N + m - 1)!(m + k)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!m!} |a|^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

At last, since $\{e_m\}$ is a sequence which converges uniformly to zero on any compact subset of B_N and $|a| < 1$, we apply the dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_m)\|^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} < T_f(e_m), e_m > \\
& = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 \frac{(N + m - 1)!(m + k)!}{(N + m + k - 1)!m!} |a|^{2k} \\
& = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k}^2 |a|^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that φ is an automorphism of B_N with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$. Let

$$e_m(z) = C_m \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m := \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(N + s + 1 + m)}{\Gamma(N + s + 1)m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m.$$

Then on $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_m)\|_s^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N + s + 1}{k}^2 |a|^{2k}.$$

Proof. Using similar method as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we can obtain the desired result. For completeness, we give an outline for its proof. On $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$), Proposition 2.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \left(\frac{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \right)^{N+s+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} (1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^{N+s+1} (1 - \overline{\langle z, a \rangle})^{N+s+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k} \binom{N+s+1}{l} (-1)^{k+l} \langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle}^l. \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation yields that

$$T_{z^\delta}^*(z^{\gamma+\alpha}) = \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+|\gamma+\alpha|-|\delta|)}{\Gamma(N+s+1+|\gamma+\alpha|)} \cdot \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} z^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta}$$

when $\gamma_i + \alpha_i \geq \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and 0 otherwise. Moreover, we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle P[\langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle}^k \langle z, a \rangle^m], \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{C_m^2} \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)}{\Gamma(N+s+1+m+k)} (m+1) \cdots (m+k) |a|^{2(m+k)} \\ &= \frac{|a|^{2m}}{C_m^2} \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)(m+k)!}{\Gamma(N+s+1+m+k)m!} |a|^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(B_N, dv_s)$ onto $A_s^2(B_N)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_f(e_m), e_m \rangle &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \langle T_f(\langle z, a \rangle^m), \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k}^2 \langle P[\langle z, a \rangle^k \overline{\langle z, a \rangle}^k \langle z, a \rangle^m], \langle z, a \rangle^m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \frac{C_m^2}{|a|^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k}^2 \frac{|a|^{2m}}{C_m^2} \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)(m+k)!}{\Gamma(N+s+1+m+k)m!} |a|^{2k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k}^2 \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)(m+k)!}{\Gamma(N+s+1+m+k)m!} |a|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

and whence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|C_\varphi^*(e_m)\|_s^2 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_f(e_m), e_m \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k}^2 \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)(m+k)!}{\Gamma(N+s+1+m+k)m!} |a|^{2k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{N+s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N+s+1}{k}^2 |a|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, using similar idea as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 3.4-3.6, we can deduce the following result, the proof will be omitted.

Theorem 3.7. *Let φ be an automorphism of B_N with $a = \varphi^{-1}(0) \neq 0$ and*

$$e_m(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(t+m)}{\Gamma(t)!m!}} \left\langle z, \frac{a}{|a|} \right\rangle^m.$$

Then on the space $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (||C_\varphi^*(e_m)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - ||C_\varphi(e_m)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2) > 0.$$

Here $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

Therefore, as a result of this theorem, we get that C_φ is essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if φ is unitary.

4. ESSENTIAL NORMALITY OF LINEAR FRACTIONAL COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section, we discuss two classes of linear fractional self-maps of B_N . They induce composition operators which are not essentially normal on the Hardy space $H^2(B_N)$ or the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).

In [17], MacCluer and Weir proved that if the linear fractional map φ restricted to the slice $[\zeta] = \{\lambda\zeta : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\lambda| < 1\}$ is a non-rotation automorphism of $[\zeta]$, then C_φ is not essentially normal on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_s^2(B_N)$ for any positive integer s (see Theorem 7 of [17]). Using Theorem 3.7, we can generalize it to the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *If φ is a linear fractional self-map of B_N and the restriction of φ to*

$$B_k = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in B_N; z_i = 0 \text{ for } i > k\}$$

is a non-rotation automorphism of B_k , then C_φ is not essentially normal on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_s^2(B_N)$ for any real $s > -1$.

First, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let φ be a linear fractional self-map of B_N . If φ maps B_k into itself and the restriction of φ to B_k is an automorphism, then the first k coordinate functions of φ and its adjoint map σ depend only on the variables $z_1, \dots, z_k$.*

Proof. The idea is similar to Lemma 3 of [17], but we still give a detail proof, because we need some parts below for the proof of Theorem 4.1. Assume that

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{Az + B}{\langle z, C \rangle + 1}$$

with $A = (a_{ij})_{N \times N}$, $B = (b_i)_{N \times 1}$ and $C = (c_i)_{N \times 1}$. Then the adjoint map σ must have the form

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{A^*z - C}{\langle z, -B \rangle + 1}.$$

Since φ is an automorphism when restricted to B_k , by Lemma 1 of [17], φ and σ all map ∂B_k onto ∂B_k . This implies that the last $N - k$ coordinate functions of φ and σ map the points of ∂B_k to 0. Thus, for $j > k$, the j -th coordinate functions

$$\varphi_j(z) = \frac{a_{j1}z_1 + \dots + a_{jN}z_N + b_j}{\overline{c_1}z_1 + \dots + \overline{c_N}z_N + 1}$$

and

$$\sigma_j(z) = \frac{\overline{a_{1j}}z_1 + \dots + \overline{a_{Nj}}z_N - c_j}{-\overline{b_1}z_1 - \dots - \overline{b_N}z_N + 1}$$

map the points λe_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\lambda| = 1$ to 0, where e_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) form the normalized orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Hence

$$a_{j1} = \dots = a_{jk} = b_j = 0$$

and

$$\overline{a_{1j}} = \dots = \overline{a_{kj}} = c_j = 0$$

for $j > k$. That is, A must have the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A_1 = (a_{ij})_{k \times k}$ and $A_2 = (a_{ij})_{(N-k) \times (N-k)}$ and $B = (b_1, \dots, b_k, 0') = (B_1, 0') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{N-k}$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_k, 0') = (C_1, 0') \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{N-k}$. From all these facts we deduce the desired conclusions for φ and σ . $\square$

Lemma A. (Lemma 1.9 of [20]) *Suppose f is a function on ∂B_N that depends only on $z_1, \dots, z_k$, where $1 \leq k < N$. Then f can be regarded as defined on B_k and*

$$\int_{\partial B_N} f d\sigma = \binom{N-1}{k} \int_{B_k} (1 - |w|^2)^{N-k-1} f(w) dv(w),$$

where B_k is the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and dv is the normalized volume measure on B_k .

Similarly, we have the following result for the weighted Bergman space $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$), see exercise 4.27 in [20]. For convenience, we give a simple proof. We identify $\mathbb{C}^N$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ using the real and imaginary parts of a complex number, and denote the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^N$ by $dV = dx_1 dy_1 \dots dx_N dy_N$. Then $dV = \frac{\pi^N}{N!} dv$ (see p.13 in [20]), where dv is the normalized volume measure on B_N .

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose f is a function on B_N that depends only on $z_1, \dots, z_k$, where $1 \leq k < N$. Then for $s > -1$,*

$$\int_{B_N} f(z) dv_s(z) = \int_{B_k} f(w) dv_{N-k+s}(w).$$

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$, write $z = (w, u) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{N-k}$. Applying Fubini's theorem and integration in polar coordinates (see 1.4.3 of [19] or Lemma 1.8 of [20]),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_N} f(z) dv_s(z) &= c_s \frac{N!}{\pi^N} \int_{B_N} f(z) (1 - |z|^2)^s dV(z) \\ &= c_s \frac{N!}{\pi^N} \int_{B_k} f(w) dV(w) \int_{(1-|w|^2)B_{N-k}} (1 - |w|^2 - |u|^2)^s dV(u) \\ &= c_s \frac{N!}{\pi^N} \frac{\pi^{N-k}}{(N-k)!} \frac{\pi^k}{k!} \int_{B_k} f(w) (1 - |w|^2)^s dv(w) \int_{(1-|w|^2)B_{N-k}} \left(1 - \frac{|u|^2}{1 - |w|^2}\right)^s dv(u) \\ &= c_s \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!} \int_{B_k} f(w) (1 - |w|^2)^s dv(w) \times \\ &\quad 2(N-k) \int_0^{1-|w|^2} r^{2(N-k)-1} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{1 - |w|^2}\right)^s dr \int_{\partial B_{N-k}} d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1)}{N!\Gamma(s+1)} \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!} \frac{\Gamma(N-k+1)\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(N-k+s+1)} \int_{B_k} f(w)(1-|w|^2)^{s+N-k} dv(w) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1)}{k!\Gamma(N-k+s+1)} \int_{B_k} f(w)(1-|w|^2)^{s+N-k} dv(w) \\
&= \int_{B_k} f(w) dv_{N-k+s}(w).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Based on Theorem 3.7 and the idea of Theorem 7 in [17], we give the following proof for Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. First, we focus on the case of $H^2(B_N)$. In terms of the proof of Lemma 4.2, we find that φ and its adjoint map σ have the forms

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{A_1 w + B_1}{\langle w, C_1 \rangle + 1}, \frac{A_2 u}{\langle w, C_1 \rangle + 1} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma(z) = \left(\frac{A_1^* w - C_1}{\langle w, -B_1 \rangle + 1}, \frac{A_2^* u}{\langle w, -B_1 \rangle + 1} \right)$$

for $z = (w, u) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{N-k}$. Write

$$\tilde{\varphi}(w) = \frac{A_1 w + B_1}{\langle w, C_1 \rangle + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}(w) = \frac{A_1^* w - C_1}{\langle w, -B_1 \rangle + 1}.$$

Thus, by hypothesis, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a non-rotation automorphism of B_k and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is its adjoint map.

Let $a = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(0)$ and $f_m(z) = C_m \langle w, a/|a| \rangle^m = e_m(w)$, where

$$C_m = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(N+m)}{\Gamma(N)!m!}} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(k+(N-k-1)+m)}{\Gamma(k+(N-k-1))!m!}}.$$

By Lemma A, we have

$$\|f_m\|^2 = \|e_m\|_{N-k-1}^2 = 1,$$

so that $\{f_m\}$ is a normalized sequence on B_N which weakly converges to 0.

It is easy to check that

$$C_\varphi(f_m)(z) = f_m(\varphi(z)) = C_m \langle \tilde{\varphi}(w), a/|a| \rangle^m = C_{\tilde{\varphi}}(e_m)(w).$$

On the other hand, we find that $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$ and $C_{\tilde{\varphi}}^* = T_{\tilde{g}} C_{\tilde{\sigma}} T_{\tilde{h}}^*$, where

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{(\langle w, -B_1 \rangle + 1)^N} = \tilde{g}(w)$$

and

$$h(z) = (\langle w, C_1 \rangle + 1)^N = \tilde{h}(w).$$

Moreover, for $\alpha \geq \beta$, $T_{w^\beta}^*(w^\alpha)$ is identical when Toeplitz operator T acts on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_{N-k-1}^2(B_k)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\varphi^*(f_m)(z) &= C_m T_g C_\sigma T_h^* (\langle w, a/|a| \rangle^m) \\
&= C_m T_{\tilde{g}} C_{\tilde{\sigma}} T_{\tilde{h}}^* (\langle w, a/|a| \rangle^m) \\
&= C_{\tilde{\varphi}}^*(e_m)(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A again, we obtain

$$\|C_\varphi(f_m)\|^2 = \|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}(e_m)\|_{N-k-1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|C_\varphi^*(f_m)\|^2 = \|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}^*(e_m)\|_{N-k-1}^2.$$

Now, by Theorem 3.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|C_\varphi^*(f_m)\|^2 - \|C_\varphi(f_m)\|^2) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}^*(e_m)\|_{N-k-1}^2 - \|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}(e_m)\|_{N-k-1}^2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]$ is not compact on $H^2(B_N)$ and the desired result holds.

On $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$), all arguments are the same to those on $H^2(B_N)$, we only need use Lemma 4.3 to see that

$$\|C_\varphi(f_m)\|_s^2 = \|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}(e_m)\|_{N-k+s}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|C_\varphi^*(f_m)\|_s^2 = \|C_{\tilde{\varphi}}^*(e_m)\|_{N-k+s}^2,$$

where

$$f_m(z) = \frac{\Gamma(N+s+1+m)}{\Gamma(N+s+1)m!} < w, a/|a| >^m = e_m(w).$$

□

Remark 1. Suppose that φ is a linear fractional self-map of B_N . By the Cayley transform $\sigma_C(z) = (e_1 + z)/(1 - z_1)$, $z \in B_N$, φ is conjugated to a map Φ on

$$H_N = \{(w_1, w') \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-1} : \operatorname{Re} w_1 > |w'|^2\}$$

with the form

$$\Phi(z, u, v, w) = (z + 2 < u, a > + b, u + a, Dv, Aw),$$

where $(z, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{C}^{N-k}$ and $\operatorname{Re} b = |a|^2$, D is unitary, A is a matrix with $\|A\| < 1$. Then using Theorem 4.1, we see that C_φ is not essentially normal on the space $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 1. (see Theorem 2.10 in [4]) Let $x \in \partial B_N$ be the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ and λ be the boundary dilatation coefficient of φ at x . Define

$$\mathbb{A}(\varphi) := \operatorname{span}\{\nu \in \mathbb{C}^N : d\varphi_x(\nu) = \lambda\nu \text{ and } \langle \nu, x \rangle \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{AG}(\varphi) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \ker(d\varphi_x - \lambda I).$$

The spaces $\mathbb{A}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{AG}(\varphi)$ are called the inner space and generalized inner space of φ respectively.

Remark 2.11 in [4] told us $\mathcal{AG}(\varphi)$ is invariant for $d\varphi_x$ and $B_N \cap (\mathcal{AG}(\varphi) + x)$ is the maximum (may be proper) invariant set of φ in the ball. Any other invariant set of φ is obtained as $B_N \cap (W + x)$ for $W \subset \mathcal{AG}(\varphi)$ and $d\varphi_x(W) \subset W$.

Remark 2. If $\mathbb{A}(\varphi) = \{0\}$, we see that x is the only fixed point of φ . In this case, suppose that the restriction of φ to $B_N \cap (W + x)$ is an automorphism for some $W \subset \mathcal{AG}(\varphi)$ and $d\varphi_x(W) \subset W$. Moreover, if there exists an automorphism ρ of B_N that satisfies $\rho(B_N \cap (W + x)) \subset B_k$ with $k = \dim W > 0$, such that the essential normality of φ is not changed when conjugated by ρ . Then by Theorem 4.1, C_φ is not essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2. (see [7]) Let $z_0 \in B_N$ be a fixed point of φ , we define the unitary space of φ at z_0 by

$$L_U(\varphi, z_0) := \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=1} \ker(d\varphi_{z_0} - \lambda I)^N.$$

Remark 3. Write $L = L_U(\varphi, z_0)$. According to the proof of Theorem 3.2 (1) in [7], if $k = \dim L > 0$, then $\varphi(B_N \cap L) \subset B_N \cap L$ and the restriction of φ to $B_N \cap L$ is an automorphism. Now, suppose that $\varphi|_{B_N \cap L}$ is not a rotation and the essential normality of φ is not changed, when conjugated by an automorphism ρ of B_N with $\rho(B_N \cap L) \subset B_k$. Using Theorem 4.1, we obtain that C_φ is not essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$.

At last, we give another class of linear fractional self-maps of B_N whose corresponding composition operators are not essentially normal on $\mathcal{H}$. The idea comes from the proofs of Proposition 2 in [17] and Theorem 2.3 in [13].

Theorem 4.4. *Let φ be a linear fractional self-map of B_N with only one interior fixed point z_0 on $\overline{B_N}$. If $p = \dim L_U(\varphi, z_0) = 0$ and $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$, then C_φ is not essentially normal on $H^2(B_N)$ or $A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$).*

Proof. Let φ^n denote the n -th iterate of φ . First, the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [13] gives $\|\varphi^n\|_\infty < 1$ for all $n \geq M$, where M is a positive integer. Let $m = \min\{n : \|\varphi^n\|_\infty < 1\}$. Since $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$, we see that $m > 1$. This means that $\|\varphi^m\|_\infty < 1$ and $\|\varphi^{m-1}\|_\infty = 1$. Hence, there exist $\zeta, \eta \in \partial B_N$ such that $\varphi^{m-1}(\zeta) = \eta$. Applying Lemma 1 of [17] repeatedly, we see that $\sigma^{m-1}(\eta) = \zeta$. Which implies that $\|\sigma^{m-1}\|_\infty = 1$. Moreover, it is easy to see $\|\sigma^m\|_\infty < 1$, otherwise, we can use similar argument to obtain $\|\varphi^m\|_\infty = 1$.

Now, we have $\sigma^{m-1}(\eta) = \zeta$ and $|\sigma^m(\eta)| < 1$. Using Lemma 1 of [17] again, we get $\varphi(\zeta) = \sigma^{m-2}(\eta) \in \partial B_N$. For $p \in B_N$, let $k_p = K_p/\|K_p\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the normalized reproducing kernel on $\mathcal{H}$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 2 in [17], since $C_\varphi = (T_g C_\sigma T_h^*)^* = T_h C_\sigma^* T_g^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]k_p|_{\mathcal{H}} &\geq ||C_\varphi k_p|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - |C_\varphi^* k_p|_{\mathcal{H}}^2| \\ &= \left| \frac{|\overline{g(p)} h K_{\sigma(p)}|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{\|K_p\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} - \frac{\|K_{\varphi(p)}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{\|K_p\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} \right| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1 - |p|^2}{1 - |\varphi(p)|^2} \right)^t - |g(p)|^2 \|h\|_\infty^2 \left(\frac{1 - |p|^2}{1 - |\sigma(p)|^2} \right)^t, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = N$ when $\mathcal{H} = H^2(B_N)$ and $t = N + s + 1$ when $\mathcal{H} = A_s^2(B_N)$ ($s > -1$). Choose a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in B_N with p_n tending to ζ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\varphi(\zeta) \in \partial B_N$ and $\sigma(\zeta) = \sigma^m(\eta) \in B_N$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1 - |p_n|^2}{1 - |\varphi(p_n)|^2} \right)^t \rightarrow d_\varphi(\zeta)^{-t}$$

from Julia-Carathéodory Theorem in B_N (see Theorem 2.8 of [9]) and

$$\left(\frac{1 - |p_n|^2}{1 - |\sigma(p_n)|^2} \right)^t \rightarrow 0,$$

where $d_\varphi(\zeta) = \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (1 - |\varphi(z)|)/(1 - |z|) > 0$. Hence, $[C_\varphi^*, C_\varphi]$ is not compact on $\mathcal{H}$ and we obtain the desired conclusion. $\square$

APPENDIX: ESTIMATION FOR $\int_{\partial B_N} |<\zeta, a>^k <\zeta, \eta>^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta)$

We estimate the integral

$$\int_{\partial B_N} |<\zeta, a>^k <\zeta, \eta>^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta)$$

when $N = 2$ and $k = 2$.

First, let $m = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} <\zeta, a>^2 <\zeta, \eta> &= (\zeta_1 \overline{a_1} + \zeta_2 \overline{a_2})^2 (\zeta_1 \overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_2 \overline{\eta_2}) \\ &= \zeta_1^3 \overline{a_1^2} \overline{\eta_1} + 2\zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 \overline{a_2^2} \overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \overline{a_1^2} \overline{\eta_2} + 2\zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_2} + \zeta_2^3 \overline{a_2^2} \overline{\eta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial B_2} |<\zeta, a>^2 <\zeta, \eta>|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_2} \left| \zeta_1^3 \overline{a_1^2} \overline{\eta_1} + 2\zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 \overline{a_2^2} \overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \overline{a_1^2} \overline{\eta_2} + 2\zeta_1 \zeta_2^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_2} + \zeta_2^3 \overline{a_2^2} \overline{\eta_2} \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left(|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 \times 3! + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \times 2! + |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^2 \times 2! \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \times 2! + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 \times 2! + |a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \times 3! + 2|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \times 2! \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \times 2! + 2|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \times 2! + 2|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \times 2! \right) \\ &= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[\left(4|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 2|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2|a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 2|a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 + 2|a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \right) \right. \\ &= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[\left(4|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left(|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^2 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[\left(4|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_1|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 + 4|a_2|^2 \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2)(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 &\geq 2|a_1| |a_2| |\eta_1| |\eta_2| \\ &\geq 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1 \eta_2}) = \overline{a_1 a_2} \overline{\eta_1 \eta_2} + a_1 \overline{a_2} \overline{\eta_1 \eta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_2} |< \zeta, a >^2 < \zeta, \eta >|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[\left(4|a_1|^4|\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_2|^4|\eta_2|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 4|a_1|^2(a_1\overline{a_2\eta_1}\eta_2 + \overline{a_1}a_2\eta_1\overline{\eta_2}) + 4|a_2|^2(a_1\overline{a_2\eta_1}\eta_2 + \overline{a_1}a_2\eta_1\overline{\eta_2}) \right) + 2|a|^4|\eta|^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[\left(4|a_1|^4|\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_1|^2 + 4|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_2|^2 + 4|a_2|^4|\eta_2|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 4|a_1|^2(|a_1|^2|\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^2|\eta_1|^2) + 4|a_2|^2(|a_1|^2|\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^2|\eta_1|^2) \right) + 2|a|^4|\eta|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} \left[4 \left(|a_1|^4|\eta_1|^2 + 2|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_1|^2 + |a_2|^4|\eta_1|^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + |a_1|^4|\eta_2|^2 + 2|a_1|^2|a_2|^2|\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^4|\eta_2|^2 \right) + 2|a|^4|\eta|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} (4|a|^4|\eta|^2 + 2|a|^4|\eta|^2) \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!1!}{(2-1+1+2)!} (1+1)(1+2)|a|^4|\eta|^2 \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!(1+2)!}{(2-1+1+2)!} |a|^4|\eta|^2 = \frac{(2-1)!(1+2)!}{(2-1+1+2)!} |a|^{2 \times 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \zeta, a >^2 < \zeta, \eta >^m = (\zeta_1\overline{a_1} + \zeta_2\overline{a_2})^2 (\zeta_1\overline{\eta_1} + \zeta_2\overline{\eta_2})^m \\
&= (\overline{a_1}^2\zeta_1^2 + 2\overline{a_1}a_2\zeta_1\zeta_2 + \overline{a_2}^2\zeta_2^2) \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_1}^2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1+2} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2} + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_1}a_2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1+1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_2}^2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_2} |< \zeta, a >^2 < \zeta, \eta >^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_2} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_1}^2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1+2} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2} + 2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_1}a_2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1+1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \overline{a_2}^2 \overline{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1} \overline{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \zeta_2^{\alpha_2+2} \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\alpha_1+2)!\alpha_2!}{\alpha!} |a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +4 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\alpha_1+1)!(\alpha_2+1)!}{\alpha!} |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{\alpha_1!(\alpha_2+2)!}{\alpha!} \\
 & \times |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\gamma+\alpha \geq \delta} \frac{2!}{\gamma!} \frac{2!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} \bar{a}^\gamma a^\delta \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta} \Big).
 \end{aligned}$$

We use the decomposition in Lemma 3.3 to compute

$$\frac{(\alpha_1+2)!}{\alpha_1!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\alpha_2+2)!}{\alpha_2!}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!}$$

can also be decomposed by using Lemma 3.3, but it is redundant to display, so we omit this part. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial B_2} |<\zeta, a>^2 <\zeta, \eta>^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
 & = \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} [\alpha_1(\alpha_1-1) + 4\alpha_1 + 2] |a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \right. \\
 & \quad + 4 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1) |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} [\alpha_2(\alpha_2-1) + 4\alpha_2 + 2] |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\gamma+\alpha \geq \delta} \frac{2!}{\gamma!} \frac{2!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} \bar{a}^\gamma a^\delta \bar{\eta}^\alpha \eta^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta} \right) \\
 & = \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left(m(m-1) |a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^4 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_1 \geq 2}} \frac{(m-2)!}{(\alpha_1-2)!\alpha_2!} |\eta_1|^{2(\alpha_1-2)} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \right. \\
 & \quad + 4m |a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_1 \geq 1}} \frac{(m-1)!}{(\alpha_1-1)!\alpha_2!} |\eta_1|^{2(\alpha_1-1)} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} + 2 |a_1|^4 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \\
 & \quad + 4m(m-1) |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_1 \geq 1, \alpha_2 \geq 1}} \frac{(m-2)!}{(\alpha_1-1)!(\alpha_2-1)!} |\eta_1|^{2(\alpha_1-1)} |\eta_2|^{2(\alpha_2-1)} \\
 & \quad + 4m |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_1 \geq 1}} \frac{(m-1)!}{(\alpha_1-1)!\alpha_2!} |\eta_1|^{2(\alpha_1-1)} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \\
 & \quad + 4m |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_2 \geq 1}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1!(\alpha_2-1)!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2(\alpha_2-1)} \\
 & \quad \left. + 4 |a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} + m(m-1) |a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^4 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_2 \geq 2}} \frac{(m-2)!}{\alpha_1!(\alpha_2-2)!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2(\alpha_2-2)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +4m|a_2|^4|\eta_2|^2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha_2 \geq 1}} \frac{(m-1)!}{\alpha_1!(\alpha_2-1)!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2(\alpha_2-1)} + 2|a_2|^4 \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} |\eta_1|^{2\alpha_1} |\eta_2|^{2\alpha_2} \\
& + \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} \sum_{\gamma+\alpha \geq \delta} \frac{2!}{\gamma!} \frac{2!}{\delta!} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\gamma+\alpha)!}{(\gamma+\alpha-\delta)!} \overline{a}^\gamma a^\delta \overline{\eta}^\alpha \eta^{\gamma+\alpha-\delta} \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the idea when $m = 1$, we use the inequality

$$|a_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \geq \overline{a_1} a_2 \eta_1 \overline{\eta_2} + a_1 \overline{a_2} \overline{\eta_1} \eta_2$$

and

$$|a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^4 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^4 \geq \overline{a_1}^2 a_2^2 \eta_1^2 \overline{\eta_2}^2 + a_1^2 \overline{a_2}^2 \overline{\eta_1}^2 \eta_2^2$$

to estimate the last sum above. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_2} |<\zeta, a>^2 <\zeta, \eta>^m|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leq \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left[m(m-1) \left(|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^4 + 2|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^4 \right. \right. \\
& \quad + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^4 + 4|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^4 \\
& \quad \left. \left. + |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^4 + 2|a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^4 \right) \times (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^{m-2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 4m \left(|a_1|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + |a_1|^4 |\eta_2|^2 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_1|^2 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 |\eta_2|^2 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_1|^2 + |a_2|^4 |\eta_2|^2 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^{m-1} + 2(|a_1|^4 + 2|a_1|^2 |a_2|^2 + |a_2|^4) (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^m \right] \\
& = \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left[m(m-1) (|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2)^2 (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^2 (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^{m-2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 4m (|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2)^2 (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2) (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^{m-1} + 2(|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2)^2 (|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^m \right] \\
& = \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} \left[m(m-1) |a|^4 |\eta|^{2m} + 4m |a|^4 |\eta|^{2m} + 2|a|^4 |\eta|^{2m} \right] \\
& = \frac{(2-1)!m!}{(2-1+m+2)!} (m+1)(m+2) |a|^4 |\eta|^{2m} \\
& = \frac{(2-1)!(m+2)!}{(2-1+m+2)!} |a|^{2 \times 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For more general N and k , we can use similar idea to compute the previous integral.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written during the first author's visiting at The College at Brockport, State University of New York. SUNY Brockport provided an excellent environment for working on this paper. Shanghai Municipal Education Commission provided the financial support during her visiting. She would like to express her gratitude to them. She is also grateful to Matthew A. Pons for providing the references [15] and [18].

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy. Special Functions. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
2. F. Bayart. Parabolic composition operators on the ball. Adv. Math. 223 (2010), 1666-1705.
3. F. Bayart, S. Charpentier. Hypercyclic composition operators on the ball. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 365(2) (2013), 911-938.
4. C. Bisi, F. Bracci. Linear fractional maps of the unit ball: a geometric study. Adv. Math. 167 (2002), 265-287.
5. P. Bourdon, D. Levi, S. Narayan, J. Shapiro. Which linear fractional composition operators are essentially normal? J. Math. Anal. Appl. 280 (2003), 30-53.
6. P. Bourdon, B. MacCluer. Selfcommutators of automorphic composition operators. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 52 (2007), 85-104.
7. F. Bracci, M. Contreras, S. Díaz-Madrigal. Classification of semigroups of linear fractional maps in the unit ball. Adv. Math. 208 (2007), 318-350.
8. C. Cowen. Linear fractional composition operators on H^2 . Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. 11 (1988), 151-160.
9. C. Cowen, B. MacCluer. Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1995.
10. C. Cowen, B. MacCluer. Linear fractional maps of the unit ball and their composition operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 66 (2000), 351-376.
11. J. Dai. Compact composition operators on the Bloch space of the unit ball. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (2012), 294-299.
12. P. Hurst. Relating composition operators on different weighted Hardy spaces. Arch. Math. 68 (1997), 503-513.
13. L. Jiang, Z. Chen. Spectra of linear fractional composition operators on $H^2(B_N)$. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 59(9) (2014), 1283-1301.
14. L. Jiang, C. Ouyang. Essential normality of linear fractional composition operators in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Sci. China Ser. A 52(12) (2009), 2668-2678.
15. B. MacCluer, M. Pons. Automorphic composition operators on Hardy and Bergman spaces in the ball. Houston J. Math. 32 (2006), 1121-1132.
16. B. MacCluer, R. Weir. Essentially normal composition operators on Bergman spaces. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 70 (2004), 799-817.
17. B. MacCluer, R. Weir. Linear-fractional composition operators in several variables. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory. 53 (2005), 373-402.
18. M. Pons. Composition operators on Besov and Dirichlet type spaces of the ball. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 77 (2011), 525-550.
19. W. Rudin. Function Theory in the Unit Ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
20. K. Zhu. Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball. New York: Springer Press, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI FINANCE UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 201209,
P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: liangying1231@163.com, jiangly@shfc.edu.cn

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, WUHAN
430071, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: ouyang@wipm.ac.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE COLLEGE AT BROCKPORT, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW
YORK, BROCKPORT, NEW YORK 14420, USA

E-mail address: rzhao@brockport.edu